1. CALL TO ORDER
   1-1. Roll call.
   1-2. Pledge of Allegiance.

2. CONSENT AGENDA
   2-1. Review highlights of Agenda. (Carl Sedoryk)

   These items will be approved by a single motion. Anyone may request that an item be discussed and considered separately.

   2-2. Adopt Resolution 2009-22 recognizing Angelina Ruiz, Human Resources Administrator, as Employee of the Month for April 2009. (Lyn Owens)

   2-3. Disposal of property left aboard buses. (Danny Avina)

   2-4. Minutes of the regular meeting of March 16, 2009. (Sonia Bannister)


   2-6. Schedule public hearing for federally funded Program of Projects. (Hunter Harvath)

   2-7. Refer Draft FY 2010 budget to Finance Committee. (Hunter Harvath)

   2-8. 2008 Community Stakeholders survey. (Zoe Smallwood)

   2-9. Accept FY 2008 Audited Financial Reports. (Kathy Williams)
2-10. Claim rejection. (Benjamin Newman)

2-11. Authorization to purchase bus engines. (Michael Hernandez)

End of Consent Agenda

3. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

3-1. April Employee of the Month – Angelina Ruiz, Human Resources Administrator. (Lyn Owens)

3-2. 30 years of service - Ann Marie Armbruster, Coach Operator. (Carl Sedoryk)

3-3. 20 years of service - Arnold Casey, Coach Operator. (Mike Hernandez)

3-4. 20 years of service - Renaldo Hernandez, Coach Operator. (Mike Hernandez)

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Members of the public may address the Board on any matter related to the jurisdiction of MST but not on the agenda. There is a time limit of not more than three minutes for each speaker. The Board will not take action or respond immediately to any public comments presented, but may choose to follow-up at a later time, either individually, through staff, or on a subsequent agenda.

5. COMMITTEE REPORTS

No action required unless specifically noted.

5-1. MST RIDES Advisory Committee Minutes – January 21, 2009. (Tom Hicks)

5-2. Facilities Committee Minutes – March 16, 2009. (Carl Wulf)

6. BIDS/PROPOSALS

7. PUBLIC HEARINGS

8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

8-1. Receive update on AB644 (Caballero) MST Rapid Transit District. (Carl Sedoryk)

8-2. Receive update on TAMC Unmet Needs process. (Carl Sedoryk) (No enclosure)
9. NEW BUSINESS

10. REPORTS & INFORMATION ITEMS

*The Board will receive and file these reports, which do not require any action by the Board.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10-1. General Manager/CEO Report.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-5. Board referrals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10-6. Award from American Red Cross regarding MST’s support during the Basin complex fire.

10-7. Award from Transportation Safety Institute for MST hosting the Transit Supervisor Certification course.

11. COMMENTS BY BOARD MEMBERS

11-1. Reports on meetings attended by Board members at MST expense (AB1234).

12. ANNOUNCEMENTS

12-1. Finance Committee meeting (Directors Armenta, Ford, and Sharp), April 27, 9:00 a.m.

13. CLOSED SESSION

*As permitted by Government Code §64956 et seq. of the State of California, The Board of Directors may adjourn to Closed Session to consider specific matters dealing with personnel and/or pending possible litigation and/or conferring with the Board’s Meyers-Milias-Brown Act representative.*

13-1. Conference with property negotiators (Parcel # APN 031-101-056-000).

(No enclosure) (Carl Sedoryk)

14. RETURN TO OPEN SESSION

14-1. Report on Closed Session and possible action.
15. ADJOURN

NEXT MEETING DATE: May 11, 2009 in MST Conference Room.

NEXT AGENDA DEADLINE: April 29, 2009

Materials related to an item on this Agenda submitted to the Board after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection at the Monterey-Salinas Transit Administration office at 1 Ryan Ranch Road, Monterey, CA during normal business hours.

Upon request, MST will provide written agenda materials in appropriate alternative formats, or disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to enable individuals with disabilities to participate in public meetings. Please send a written request, including your name, mailing address, phone number and brief description of the requested materials and preferred alternative format or auxiliary aid or service at least 5 days before the meeting. Requests should be sent to Sonia Bannister, MST, One Ryan Ranch Road, Monterey, CA 93940 or srbannister@mst.org
ANGELINA RUIZ
APRIL 2009
EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

WHEREAS, each month Monterey-Salinas Transit recognizes an outstanding employee as Employee of the Month; and

WHEREAS, the Employee of the Month is recognized for their positive contribution to MST and to the entire community; and

WHEREAS, Angelina Ruiz began her career as a Human Resources Administrator with Monterey-Salinas Transit on July of 2007; and

WHEREAS, Angelina Ruiz is a valuable member of the Human Resources Department. Her optimistic demeanor and personality make her approachable by her fellow co-workers; and

WHEREAS, Angelina Ruiz is a key member in keeping MST’s Drug and Alcohol Program in compliance with the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). In August 2008, the FTA audited MST’s Drug and Alcohol program, policies and practices. The FTA auditors recognized her for her outstanding diligence in keeping on top of the record keeping requirements and staying in constant communication with our vendors ensuring MST’s compliance at each stage of the process.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Directors of Monterey-Salinas Transit recognizes Angelina Ruiz as Employee of the Month for April 2009; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Angelina Ruiz is to be congratulated for her excellent work at Monterey-Salinas Transit.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF MONTEREY-SALINAS TRANSIT PASSED AND ADOPTED RESOLUTION 2009-22 this 13th day of April 2009 by the following vote:

AYES: Armenta, Clark, Downey, Ford, Mancini, Sanchez, Stilwell

NOES: None

ABSENT: Sharp

Fernando Armenta, Chairman

Carl G. Sedoryk, Secretary
To: Board of Directors

From: Danny Avina, Customer Service Supervisor

Subject: Disposal of unclaimed property left on bus

Goodwill

- 2 books
- 1 pair of eyeglasses
- 1 eyeglass case
- 1 pair pants
- 1 pair of sunglasses
- 1 wallet
- 2 hats
- 1 sweater
- 4 cell phones

To be disposed

- 7 sets of keys
- 2 lunch bags
- 1 shirt
- 3 gloves
- 1 earring
- 2 backpacks
- 1 coin purse
- 1 coffee cup
- 1 sweater
- 2 credit cards
- 2 IDs

MST makes an attempt to contact the owners of Lost and Found items. If the items are unclaimed after 30 days, they are added to the above list.

PREPARED BY: _____________________ REVIEWED BY: _____________________

Danny Avina                                          Carl Sedoryk
1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Armenta called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. in the MST Conference Room.

Present: Karen Sharp  City of Carmel-By-The-Sea  
Kristin Clark  City of Del Rey Oaks  
James Ford  City of Marina  
Libby Downey  City of Monterey  
Vicki Stillwell  City of Pacific Grove  
Sergio Sanchez  City of Salinas (10:02)  
Thomas Mancini  City of Seaside  
Fernando Armenta  County of Monterey  
Vicki Stillwell  City of Pacific Grove  
Sergio Sanchez  City of Salinas (10:02)  

Absent: None

Staff: Carl Sedoryk  General Manager/CEO  
Hunter Harvath  Asst. General Manager/Finance & Administration  
Michael Hernandez  Asst. General Manager/Chief Operating Officer  
Robert Weber  Director of Transportation Services  
Sonia Bannister  Office Administrator/Marketing & Sales Specialist  
Michael Gallant  Planning Manager  
Kathy Williams  General Accounting Manager  
Zoe Smallwood  Marketing Analyst  
Lou Doll  Safety/Training Officer  
Kelly Halcon  Human Resources Manager  
Carl Wulf  Facilities/Capital Projects Manager

Others: Dave Laredo  Delay & Laredo  
Bob Parks  ATU Local 1225  
Doug Wallace  ATU Local 1225  
Andy Cook  TAMC  
Emerito Noza  Salinas resident  
Brent Boardman  MV Transportation

Apology is made for any misspelling of a name.

Chairman Armenta recognized Bob Parks, President, ATU Local 1225.
2-1. – 2-8. CONSENT AGENDA

The consent agenda items consisted of the following:


2-3. Disposal of property left aboard buses.

2-4. Minutes of the regular meeting of February 9, 2009.


2-6. Liability claim rejection.

2-7. Adopt Resolution 2009-21 recognizing Emerito Noza, Coach Operator, for eight years of service.

2-8. Approve purchase of seven RIDES vehicles from Bus West, Inc. at a cost of $46,487.

Directors Sanchez and Orozco arrived at 10:02 a.m.

Director Ford moved to approve the items on the consent agenda. Director Mancini seconded and the motion carried unanimously.

3. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS

Mike Hernandez, Assistant General Manager/Chief Operating Officer, introduced Emerito Noza, Coach Operator. Mr. Noza retired with eight years of service. During his career, he logged five years of safe driving.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mr. Parks, President, ATU Local 1225, would like to be notified of any possible changes to MST service or reduction in force before it gets published in the local newspapers. He said he was not aware of any service cuts prior to reading a front page article back in October, 2008.

Doug Wallace, ATU Local 1225, asked about the impacts of MST becoming a transit district, if any, to the Collective Bargaining Agreement currently in place with the union.

Mr. Sedoryk commented that in addition to being mailed, the MST Board agendas are also published online. MST does have quarterly scheduled meetings with the union and staff will continue to work with the Union. As for MST becoming a transit
district, Mr. Sedoryk replied that there is no change and everything stays the same. Staff will provide an update to the union, after the Board meeting.

5. COMMITTEE REPORT

The Board accepted and filed the Finance Committee Minutes – February 9, 2009.

6. BIDS/PROPOSALS

None.

7. PUBLIC HEARINGS

None.

8-1. FJL OPERATIONS CENTER CONTINGENCY BUDGET

Mr. Michael Hernandez, Assistant General Manager/COO, reported that when this contract was awarded in July 2008, it did not include a contingency budget of 12.4%, which is required by FTA. The contingency budget would cover any project changes, or to address unknown project costs.

Back in November 2008, the County of Monterey asked MST to consider relocating the project to the Whispering Oaks site, resulting in about a two month delay in the project process. Staff determined that the new location is suitable for this project. Staff will work with the County to recover the expenses associated with building on the new site through an agreement with the County for the recreated fees and costs linked to the development of this new site.

Mr. Sedoryk reported that MST received $7.5 million for capital project from the American Recovery Act. Of this amount, half needs to be spent within six months, which will be used to pay off the bus debt. The remaining half must be spent within two years. Congressman Farr’s staff indicated to MST that it is their desire that a majority of these funds will be used for the Frank J. Lichtanski Monterey Bay Operations Center.

Director Mancini moved to: 1) authorize a contingency budget for the new Frank J. Lichtanski Monterey Bay Operations Center in the amount of $387,000 for all Architectural & Engineering related expenses, including fees, permits, and special inspections; and 2) authorize up to $337,000 for new site and design related expenses for Whispering Oaks. Director Clark seconded and the motion carried unanimously.
9-1. MST Rides Vehicle Purchase

Mr. Michael Hernandez, Assistant General Manager/COO, reported that this procurement is for fleet expansion of the MST Rides program. MST has 26 vehicles in the MST Rides fleet, some of which have over 300,000 miles. There are currently an insufficient number of vehicles for Rides service requirements.

Director Downey moved to authorize the purchase of four medium sized (mini) buses from Bus West, Inc. for fleet expansion of the MST Rides Program at a cost of $231,580. Director Stilwell seconded and the motion carried unanimously.

9-2. Monterey County Unmet Transit Needs

Mr. Hunter Harvath, Assistant General Manager for Finance & Administration, reported that the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) represents the largest revenue source in MST’s operating budget – approximately 44% for FY 2008 and FY 2009. The intent of this fund is for public transit, first and foremost. The Transportation Agency for Monterey County (TAMC) is estimating a 12% decrease in overall LTF revenue due to the economic downturn. For the FY 2010 budget cycle, the TAMC Board allocated a decrease of 22.7% in LTF funds.

Each of the South County municipalities must fund 50% of the operating cost of its respective dial-a-ride service from its FY 2010 apportionment. Whatever is left over may be used for local streets and roads.

There is a request for TAMC to consider increasing service on MST’s line 23 Salinas-King City. Currently, this route operates approximately every 2-3 hours throughout the day, with slightly more frequency during limited peak service. Ridership on this route has grown significantly. If the service is expanded, virtually all of the LTF currently being used by the South County cities for local streets and roads would be redirected to MST for transit operations.

Director Orozco suggested partnering with Hartnell College and other schools as possible funding sources.

Director Sanchez commented that it is time for elected officials to intervene and meet with the elected officials from South County to try to find a solution to the problem.

10-1. – 10-6. Reports & Information Items

Mr. Sedoryk reported that while in Washington, DC, MST received a $475,000 earmark from Congressman Farr. There was also an additional $600,000 in operating money from an appropriations bill.

Director Clark commented that nationwide, transportation ridership is increasing.

11. COMMENTS BY BOARD MEMBERS

Director Downey commented that she has received some feedback from her constituents. The buses that serve the Del Monte Shopping Center are very noisy and request that after 10 p.m. to not go through the neighborhoods.

Director Sanchez commented that more effort needs to be done with regards to communicating with the workforce.

Director Orozco left at 11:16 a.m.

12. ANNOUNCEMENTS

None.

13. CLOSED SESSION

The Board adjourned to Closed Session to meet with legal counsel regarding conference with real property negotiators regarding the parcel on the former Fort Ord.

14. RETURN TO OPEN SESSION

Upon returning to open session, General Counsel Laredo reported that staff provided a status report on the property acquisition (APN # 031-101-056-000). No other reportable action was taken by the Board.

15. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chair Armenta adjourned the meeting at 11:45 a.m.

Prepared by: ______________________________

Sonia A.R. Bannister
To:                  Board of Directors

From:              H. Harvath, Assistant General Manager for Finance & Administration


RECOMMENDATION:

1. Accept report of March cash flow presented in Attachment #1

2. Approve March disbursements listed in Attachment #2

3. Accept report of March treasury transactions listed in Attachment #3

FISCAL IMPACT:

The cash flow for March is summarized below and is detailed in Attachment #1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning balance</td>
<td>$ 9,033,971.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>3,456,357.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td>&lt; 3,749,258.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending balance</td>
<td>$ 8,741,071.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POLICY IMPlications:

Disbursements are approved by your Board each month and are shown in Attachment #2. Treasury transactions are reported to your Board each month, and are shown in Attachment #3.
TREASURY TRANSACTIONS
FOR MARCH 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Confirm #</th>
<th>Bank</th>
<th>Deposit</th>
<th>Withdrawal</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Balance Forward at 2/21/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 25</td>
<td>LAIF</td>
<td>1212884</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td>225,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,807,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 26</td>
<td>LAIF</td>
<td>1213096</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td>876,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,931,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 9</td>
<td>LAIF</td>
<td>1214074</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td>313,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,618,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 12</td>
<td>LAIF</td>
<td>1214487</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td>370,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,248,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 18</td>
<td>LAIF</td>
<td>1215132</td>
<td>FNB</td>
<td>1,814,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,062,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 24</td>
<td>LAIF</td>
<td>1215633</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td>887,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,175,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 26</td>
<td>LAIF</td>
<td>1215976</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td>395,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,780,834</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Agency Investment Fund:
(Earned 1.869% for Feb. 2009) $ 7,780,834

Treasury Balance at 3/31/09: $ 7,780,834
To: Board of Directors

From: H. Harvath, Assistant General Manager – Finance & Administration

Subject: FY 2009 Program of Projects

RECOMMENDATION:

Schedule a public hearing for May 11, 2009, to receive comments on the draft Program of Projects for FY 2009.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Your Board must conduct a public hearing for and approve MST’s Program of Projects to comply with federal regulations.

DISCUSSION:

The Program of Projects (POP) allocates federal funds to specific projects each fiscal year. The POP becomes part of MST’s application for federal grant funding that is submitted to the Federal Transit Administration via AMBAG. According to federal regulations, MST is required to develop, publish and afford an opportunity for a public hearing on and submit for approval a POP.

In addition, the projects listed in the POP will be submitted to AMBAG for inclusion in the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP). It is appropriate for your Board to schedule a public hearing at its May 11th, meeting to receive comments on the POP and then consider its adoption. Hearing notices will be published in the Herald, the Californian and El Sol in advance of the May 11th Board meeting.

PREPARED BY: ______________________ REVIEWED BY: __________________________

Hunter Harvath Carl G. Sedoryk
To:        Board of Directors

From:      H. Harvath, Assistant General Manager – Finance & Administration

Subject:   Draft FY 2010 Budget

RECOMMENDATION:

Refer the Draft Budget to the Finance Committee for review and recommendation.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Referring the draft budget to the Finance Committee for review has no fiscal impact.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Your Board approves the agency’s annual operating and capital budgets.

DISCUSSION:

Staff is currently in the process of developing MST’s budget for FY 2010. Given the state fiscal crisis and the world-wide economic downturn, this budget will reflect far different funding situation than in previous years. Among the new fiscal realities facing MST are:

- **100% cut to State Transit Assistance** – Originally budgeted at $4.9 million for MST in FY 2009, the STA program was slashed by the Legislature and Governor by 80% for the current fiscal year and by 100% for FY 2010 and beyond into the foreseeable future. In the past, STA funds have covered most of the costs of MST RIDES paratransit services.

- **20% reduction in Local Transportation Funds** – LTF is generated by state sales taxes. With the economic recession, TAMC’s estimates for these funds are $2 million less for FY 2010 than the current fiscal year.

- **40% increase in cost per hour for MST RIDES (proposed)** – MST’s 5-year contract with MV Transportation is coming to the close in July 2009. MV Transportation has opened negotiations with a 40% increase in its hourly rate to provide paratransit services. Staff will continue the negotiation process with MV
in the coming days and weeks to try to reduce the magnitude of their proposed increase.

- **Federal Operating funds frozen at FY 2009 levels** – The current multi-year transportation authorization bill (SAFETEA) expires at the end of FY 2009. The possibility exists that the next authorization bill will not be adopted by the time the current bill expires. The last time this happened, a new authorization bill was not approved for nearly 2 years. During this period, federal transit funding levels, which normally increase each year, were frozen for two years.

At this date, staff is still in the process of negotiating the contract extension with MV, which provides over 35% of transit and paratransit services for MST. In addition, the Federal Transit Administration has not yet released FY 2009 apportionments for operating assistance, which comprises approximately 25% of MST’s annual budget. And, MST is awaiting a decision by the TAMC Board of Directors on next year’s funding levels for Line 23 Salinas-King City, which may impact this route’s budget by as much as 50%. Given these uncertainties, staff is unable to include a draft budget in the board packet that has a sufficient degree of accuracy for consideration. In that regard, a draft FY 2010 budget will be provided on the day of the Board meeting.

Annually, the draft budget is forwarded by your Board to the Finance Committee for review. The Finance Committee reviews this budget in detail and makes appropriate recommendations to your Board. The final draft budget would then be submitted for Board approval at the May meeting.

PREPARED BY: ___________________________ REVIEWED BY: ___________________________

Hunter Harvath Carl G. Sedoryk
To: Board of Directors

From: Zoé Smallwood, Marketing Analyst

Subject: 2008 Stakeholder Survey

RECOMMENDATION:

Receive report on the 2008 Community Stakeholder Survey.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

MST surveys stakeholders annually in its service area to gauge knowledge of and opinions regarding this agency.

DISCUSSION:

In February of 2009, approximately 550 surveys were distributed to community stakeholders such as elected officials, governmental agencies, social service organizations, private citizens, and representatives from private industries, including hospitality, agriculture and construction. A total of 45 surveys were returned and yielded the following highlights:

- Familiarity with MST’s services: Nearly all of the respondents were most familiar with regular fixed route service with MST Trolley ranking second and DART third.

- When rating MST’s attributes from “excellent” to “very poor,” the following areas were rated on a scale of 1-5 (with one as very poor and five as excellent) as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety of Service</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helpfulness of Staff</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Impression of MST’s Service</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of Service</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Knowledge</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Staff Professionalism 4.3
Quality of Service 4.2
Overall Impression of MST’s Administration 4.2
MST’s Representation of Itself in the Community 4.2
Perceived Value of Transit in the Community 4.0
Convenience of Service 3.7

- When ranking MST’s priorities “adding new routes” and “adding frequency to existing routes” ranked highest. “Improving public image of MST,” “improving customer service,” and “adding more special event shuttles” also ranked high.

- When asked how to meet local transportation needs, the following alternatives were rated on a scale of 1-5 (with one as “least effective” and five as “most effective”) as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buses</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved Roads</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Vanpools/Carpool Incentives</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Rapid Transit</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Dedicated Bike Lanes</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Roads</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- When asked if respondents would support a “new local sales tax dedicated to funding public transit and transportation,” 85% of respondents said they would support it with 47% of respondents supporting a ¼ percent increase, 28% supporting a 1/8 percentage increase and 25% supporting a 1/10 percent increase.

PREPARED BY: ________________________ REVIEWED BY: ________________________
Zoé Smallwood Carl Sedoryk

Attachment: 2008 Community Stakeholder Survey
2008 COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDER SURVEY

MST would like to hear from you. We would appreciate it if you would help us evaluate our services by answering the following questions. We’d like to know if we have been meeting your expectations during 2008. Thank you in advance for taking the time to help us.

Please return completed surveys by March 15, 2009. See details on reverse.

1. Which of MST’s services are you familiar with?

___ Regular fixed-route buses  ___ Laguna Seca shuttle
___ DART  ___ California International Airshow shuttle
___ MST On Call Marina  ___ Line 23 Salinas-King City
___ MST Trolley (The Wave)  ___ Line 24 Carmel Valley Grapevine Express
___ First Night Monterey shuttle  ___ Line 55 Monterey-San Jose Express

2. What do you believe to be MST’s annual ridership?

___ Less than 1 million  ___ 1 million – 2 million
___ 2 million – 3 million  ___ 4 million – 5 million
___ Over 5 million

3. Please rate the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall impression of MST’s services</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience of service</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of service</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety of service</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of service</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall impression of MST’s administration</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helpfulness of staff</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff knowledge</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff professionalism</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MST’s representation of itself in the community</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived value of transit in the community</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Do you or your employees use MST?  Yes  No

5. Are you aware of the tax incentives provided to employers who provide transit passes to their employers?  Yes  No

If you would you like to learn more, please provide your contact information.
6. What do you believe should be MST’s highest priorities? (Please rank in order of importance)

___ Add new routes*
___ Add frequency to existing routes*
___ Add capacity to existing routes*
___ Cut routes*
___ Other*
___ More special event shuttles
___ Upgrade vehicles
___ Improve customer service
___ Improve public image of MST

*Where/What?

8. In order to meet local transportation needs in Monterey County, please rate the following alternatives:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative</th>
<th>Most Effective</th>
<th>Least Effective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New roads</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved roads</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More dedicated bike lanes</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More vanpools and incentive for carpoolers</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)</td>
<td>5 4 3 2 1</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Comments: ______________________________________________________________

10. Community sector that you represent:

___ Private citizen          ___ Private industry                  ___ Agribusiness
___ Government             ___ Elected official                   ___ Education
___ Hospitality               ___ Health/Social services        ___ Construction/Developer

Organization (optional):__________________________________________________________________

11. Governor Schwarzenegger has proposed to permanently eliminate all State Transit Assistance funds starting in FY 2010. Because there is currently no local sales tax for public transit in Monterey County, MST relies on these state funds for a substantial portion of its annual budget. In that regard, would you support a new local sales tax that would be dedicated to funding public transit and transportation services for the elderly and disabled?  Yes  No

If yes, what percentage of sales tax would be appropriate?
1/4 percent____  1/8 percent ____  1/10 percent____

Please return completed surveys by March 15 via fax to 899-3954 or via mail:
Monterey-Salinas Transit
One Ryan Ranch Road
Monterey, CA  93940

Thank you
To: Board of Directors  
From: Kathy Williams, General Accounting and Budget Manager  
Subject: Audited Financial Reports for FY 2008

RECOMMENDATION:

Accept the audited financial results for FY 2008 as prepared by Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

The annual financial reports are prepared and issued in accordance with state and federal regulations.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

DISCUSSION:

MST staff prepared and submitted the following reports as part of our annual audit process:

1. State Financial Reports to the State Controller.
4. Submission of CAFR to State Transportation Development Act Compliance Department

The State Financial Reports and the Federal Financial Report were submitted by their required deadlines. The detail reports are available for review upon request.
Comparative Financial Results. The table below compares the audited "bottom lines" for the past three years for Fixed Route BUS and MST RIDES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY2006</th>
<th>FY2007</th>
<th>%change</th>
<th>FY2008</th>
<th>%change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$20,553,034</td>
<td>$23,200,719</td>
<td>12.88%</td>
<td>$24,406,000</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed Route BUS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Fare Revenue</td>
<td>$5,660,573</td>
<td>$6,289,281</td>
<td>11.11%</td>
<td>$6,524,001</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>$19,401,817</td>
<td>$21,270,636</td>
<td>9.63%</td>
<td>$22,520,754</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebox Recovery</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MST RIDES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Fare Revenue</td>
<td>$156,394</td>
<td>$159,095</td>
<td>1.73%</td>
<td>$220,918</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>$1,628,330</td>
<td>$1,491,999</td>
<td>-8.40%</td>
<td>$1,883,246</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebox Recovery</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prepared by: ______________________  Reviewed by: ______________________

Kathy Williams  Carl Sedoryk
To: Board of Directors

From: Ben Newman, Risk Manager

Subject: Liability Claim Rejection

RECOMMENDATION:

Reject claim by the claimant below.

FISCAL IMPACT:

$1,000.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

None.

DISCUSSION:

Vicki Tyra alleges to have been injured on March 2, 2009 when the bus braked suddenly. Ms. Tyra states that she received injuries to her thigh, lower back, arm, finger and neck when she fell from her seat. Review of the Digital Video Recorder (DVR) does not support this claim.

The above claim is under investigation. If any Board member desires further information on this claim, they may request it be discussed in closed session.

PREPARED BY: __________________ APPROVED BY: __________________

Ben Newman                                                    Carl Sedoryk
To:            Board of Directors

From:         Michael Hernandez, Assistant General Manager/COO

Subject:      Purchase three bus engines from Cummins West

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Authorize the purchase of three diesel bus engines from Cummins West.

FISCAL IMPACT:

$64,827.08. Funding is available in the FY 2009 MST capital budget.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Your Board approves all purchases that exceed $25,000.

DISCUSSION:

Transit diesel engines typically operate approximately 18 hours a day and about 50,000 miles per year. MST has three buses out of service due to engine failures and one due to accident damage. Two of these model year 2002 buses were slated for mid-life rehabilitation and have accumulated over 400,000 miles. While MST does rebuild engines in-house, we are not equipped to handle multiple rebuilds at this time.

These three engines, including one recently purchased engine, are rebuilt engines which will be installed in model year 2002 and 2003 Gillig Phantoms and low-floor buses. MST received quotes from three vendors as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Per Engine</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cummins West</td>
<td>$21,609.03</td>
<td>$64,827.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD Industries</td>
<td>$24,361.99</td>
<td>$73,085.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Truck</td>
<td>$25,599.75</td>
<td>$73,536.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MST purchases extended warranties on engines when available from the manufacturer. The three engines from Cummins West come with a 5 year 300,000 mile warranty.

PREPARED BY: ____________________ REVIEWED BY: ____________________

Michael Hernandez                  Carl Sedoryk
MST RIDES Advisory Committee  
January 21, 2009
Minutes

Present: Chair: Alma Almanza:CCCIL:Consumer Representative  
Vice Chair:Diana Seay: Consumer Representative  
Brandy Abend:Salinas Valley Dialysis  
MST Staff: William Morris: Contract Transportation Manager  
MST Staff: Cristy Sugabo: Paratransit Eligibility Specialist  
MST Staff: Robert Weber:Director of Transportation Services  
MV Staff: Lance Atencio: General Manager  
TAMC Staff: Andy Cook, Transportation Planner

Absent: Margie Rossi: REAP  
George Redmon: Consumer Representative

1. Call to order
   Committee Chair Alma Almanza called the meeting to order at 1:10 p.m.

2. Introductions
   Attendees introduced themselves and explained their affiliations.

3. Approval of the Minutes
   The minutes were approved as published.

4. Public Comment
   MST RIDES Client, Ramona Robles, stated that she had received poor service within the last two months, and had written a letter to the MST General Manager. She said she had received a response, but is still afraid to rely on the service. Most of her problems were caused by errors in scheduling, when the vehicle arrived to pick her up on the wrong date, and was a no show on another date. MV General Manager, Lance Atencio, gave her his business card, and asked her to call him directly if she encounters any further problems.

5. Committee Member Reports
   None.
6. New Business

The Committee tabled nominations for employee recognition awards until the next meeting. They presented two employee awards to MV General Manager, Lance Atencio, who will present them to the employees at their next safety meeting. The Committee held Election of Officers, and voted to keep the same Chair, Alma Almanza and Vice Chair, Diana Seay.

Robert Weber, MST Staff, discussed a proposal to combine the RAC functions with that of a CTSA steering committee. The RAC purpose and duties would need to be revised, so he would re-write them to broaden the scope to include oversight of CTSA operations. The Committee voted to instruct MST Staff to re-write the RAC Charter and present the proposed changes at their next meeting.

7. Comments by Committee Members

Committee member Alma Almanza stated that she had received very little complaints about the recent fare increase. Committee member Brandy Abend stated that she also had received little complaints from her clients.

8. Unfinished Business

The selection of remaining 2009 meeting dates was tabled until the next RAC meeting.

9. Staff Reports and Information Items

Committee member Alma Almanza announced that the new informational 2-1-1 phone number was to be activated on February 11, 2009. Andy Cook, TAMC staff, stated that TAMC would be recommending that the Board of Supervisors approve the reallocation of STA funds that are not be used to the MST RIDES program.

10. Announcements:

William Morris, MST Staff, announced that he is retiring from MST on February 5, 2009.

11. Adjourn

The Committee adjourned at 2:26 p.m.

SUBMITTED BY: _____________________________  Cristy Sugabo
Facilities Committee
March 16, 2009
Minutes

Present:  Director Mancini
          Director Downey
          Carl Sedoryk, General Manager/CEO
          Michael Hernandez, Assistant General Manager/Chief Operating Officer
          Carl Wulf, Facilities/Capital Projects Manager
          Hunter Harvath, Assistant General Manager for Finance & Administration
          David Laredo, Legal Counsel

1. Call to order

   Director Mancini called the meeting to order at 9:04 a.m.

2. Public Comment: None

3. Receive an update and recommend approval of the revised budget for the FJL Facility

   Michael Hernandez presented information regarding the need for a contingency fund as well as the need for money related to move to the new Whispering Oaks business park.

   Director Downey asked if we knew the amount that we were going to save by using the Wal-Mart approach for this design. Carl Sedoryk replied that the amount was close to $1 Million.

   Director Mancini wanted to know if we knew how much water the facility will need. Staff stated based on preliminary information the total would be 15 acre feet.

   Director Downey inquired how MST will ensure that the County will compensate MST for the costs associated to moving to the new property (Whispering Oaks). Carl Sedoryk responded that the county so far has emphasized that the goal was a win / win for all parties and that there have been no real deal stoppers. The County Board of Supervisors has the final say in any project and that MST has already had discussions with a few of the supervisors and received a favorable response.

   Director Mancini asked if there was any chance of obtaining stimulus money from the federal government for this project. Hunter Harvath stated that approximately $1.8 million was available and that it was strongly encouraged by Sam Farr’s office that we use this money for the FJL facility.
4. Receive an update on Facilities Improvements and upcoming projects:

Mr. Wulf presented information on projects completed by the facilities group.

At TDA:
- Card Swipe security system in place.
- Will be looking into repair to the bus parking area
- Repair of the movable partition wall.

At Naval Post Graduate School:
- Installation of new bus shelter at main gate

CJW:
- Card Swipe security system in place
- Will be looking into repaving the bus parking lot to fix pot holes and water runoff issues.

Defense Language Institute:
- Will be installing new stops and shelter for new service to start in the summer.

City of Salinas:
- Looking into location for installations of new shelters in four areas.

5. Receive an update on the temporary relocation of the Monterey Transit Plaza.

- Michael Hernandez spoke on the status of the move from the transit plaza to the three temporary locations due to construction on Pearl Street.

- A question came up as to the problem of the homeless in downtown Monterey and how MST would handle the issue as it affects the bus stops. Mr. Hernandez responded that MST Customer Service staff will call the Police department if a problem was reported. Also Operations Supervisors will contact the PD if a situation arises. Carl Sedoryk stated that MST does not have a policy or any form of enforcement at the bus stops but that the PD would be the direction we would go if there was a problem.

6. Adjourn

Director Mancini adjourned the meeting at 9:45 a.m.

SUBMITTED BY: _______________________

Carl Wulf
To: Board of Directors
From: C. Sedoryk General Manager/CEO
Subject: Update on AB644 - Creation of Monterey-Salinas Transit District

RECOMMENDATION:

1. Receive update from staff and provide direction as appropriate.
2. Adopt resolution to reflect policy changes related to AB644 as appropriate.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Your Board and the councils and supervisory boards of your jurisdictions have provided resolutions of support for changes to the governance structure of the MST Joint Powers Agency.

DISCUSSION:

At your meeting of February 9, 2009 your Board approved language to be submitted as draft legislation for AB 644, the creation of the Monterey-Salinas Transit District (Attachment 1). The purpose of this legislation is to provide a new governance structure providing the ability raise additional revenue for public transportation within our region. The attached draft legislation for the creation of the Monterey-Salinas Transit District was compiled by borrowing, in part, from existing Public Utilities Code statutes for other transit districts around the state, including that for Yolo and Santa Cruz counties. In addition, the draft legislation, reviewed by general counsel, was developed with MST’s existing JPA member jurisdictions, bylaws, composition, policies and procedures in mind to facilitate a quick and easy transition to a transit district.

At the request of Assemblymember Caballero who is carrying the bill for MST, resolutions and letters of support from each of your Board’s jurisdiction were provided to her on March 20th. On March 24th, MST staff along with its legislative representative in Sacramento, JEA & Associates, met with members of the Assembly Transportation
Committee and the bill was received a favorably. The bill is slated for a hearing in the Local Governments Committee, chaired by Assembymember Caballero, on April 15th, 2009.

On March 30th, MST staff met with TMC staff and staff from the cities of Gonzales, Soledad, and King City to discuss funding issues related to Line 23 King City – Salinas. At this meeting, city staff stated that they had concerns about the formation of the MST Rapid Transit District and the potential that district might propose a tax to be placed on a county-wide ballot without the cities having a voting membership on the District’s Board. Staff responded that under the current JPA, if the South County cities were interested in participating as a voting member of the MST Board, they could make a request of your Board for membership, and that the formation of the District did nothing to inhibit any city from joining the district at a future date. Shortly after the conclusion of this meeting, MST staff received an email copy of a letter to Assembymember Caballero and signed by the city managers of Gonzales, Soledad and King City. The letter states support for the AB644, if the bill is amended to provide voting membership to the jurisdictions, and further amended to require a four-fifths majority of voting members in order to submit a ballot measure to voters for the imposition of taxes along with some minor language adjustments regarding public hearings. (Attachment 2).

This matter was further discussed at the April 1, 2009 meeting of the Transportation Agency of Monterey County (TAMC) Executive Committee during a discussion of TAMC legislative issues. The TAMC Executive Committee voted to recommend that the TAMC Board support AB 644 provided it is amended to require that all incorporated cities be represented on the new Transit District Board. There was not support for the 4/5 vote requirement amendment and it was recognized that a minor change to reflect the existing requirement for public hearings on fare increases could be added to the bill language. The full TAMC Board will consider the TAMC Executive committee’s recommendation at their April 29 Board meeting.

There has been an ongoing dialogue between MST Staff, MST Board chair Fernando Armenta and various South County jurisdiction elected officials including MST ex-officio board member Maria Orozco from the city of Gonzales. As the additional language being suggested for amendment has not been reviewed or authorized by your Board, staff has convened a meeting of the MST Legislative Committee to discuss and provide further direction to staff. Staff has requested a postponement in the hearing of this matter before the Local Governments Committee until your Board has had an opportunity to provide additional direction, if needed. For the bill to move forward this year, final language will need to be presented to the committee by April 29th.

Options available to your Board include the following:

- Direct staff to proceed with the Rapid Transit District bill AB 644 unchanged;
- Modify the language of AB644 to include additional jurisdiction representation;
Discontinue the pursuit of AB644 in its current form as a potential means to raise revenue.

An update on the outcome of the Legislative Committee and a resolution documenting any significant subsequent policy changes or resolutions will be provided at your April 13 meeting.
Introduced by Assembly Member Caballero

February 25, 2009

An act to add Part 17 (commencing with Section 106000) to Division 10 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to transportation.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL’S DIGEST

AB 644, as introduced, Caballero. Monterey-Salinas Transit District Act.

Existing law establishes various local entities to carry out transportation functions within their respective areas of jurisdiction.

This bill would enact the Monterey-Salinas Transit District Act. The bill would dissolve the Monterey-Salinas Transit Joint Powers Agency and create the Monterey-Salinas Transit District within Monterey County to succeed to the rights, powers, duties, and obligations of the agency. The bill would establish an 8-member board of directors to govern the district. The act would provide that upon the dissolution of the Monterey-Salinas Transit Joint Powers Agency its employees shall become employees of the district. The bill would authorize the district to hire independent staff and contract with public entities to implement the provisions of the act. The bill would authorize the district to raise moneys by issuing bonds payable from revenues of any facility or enterprise acquired or constructed by the district. The bill would establish other powers and duties of the district. By imposing new duties on a local agency, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.
This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.


The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Part 17 (commencing with Section 106000) is added to Division 10 of the Public Utilities Code, to read:

PART 17. MONTEREY-SALINAS TRANSIT DISTRICT

Chapter 1. General Provisions

106000. This part shall be known and may be cited as the Monterey-Salinas Transit District Act.
106001. As used in this part, the following terms have the following meanings:
(b) “Board of directors” means the Board of Directors of the Monterey-Salinas Transit District.
(c) “Board of supervisors” means the Monterey County Board of Supervisors.
(d) “County” means Monterey County.
(e) “District” means the Monterey-Salinas Transit District created by Section 106010.

Chapter 2. Formation of District

106010. There is hereby created the Monterey-Salinas Transit District. The jurisdiction of the district extends throughout the county, including all of the incorporated and unincorporated territory.
106011. On and after January 1, 2010, the agency is dissolved and the district succeeds to, and is vested with, all of the rights, powers, duties, and obligations of the agency. The district is the successor to the agency’s interests in any property, its rights and obligations under any contract, any outstanding indebtedness of
the agency, and its rights under any grants, without the necessity
of any further action.

106012. (a) The district shall assume the duties of public transit
provider performed by the agency. On and after January 1, 2010,
the Cities of Carmel-by-the-Sea, Del Rey Oaks, Marina, Monterey,
Pacific Grove, Salinas, and Seaside and the County of Monterey
are included within the district.
(b) Additionally, the district is deemed to be, with all of the
powers and duties attendant thereto, the consolidated transportation
services agency for the county, with the concurrence of the regional
transportation planning agency.

106013. Upon dissolution of the agency, employees of the
agency shall be deemed to be employees of the district without
any break in service or any loss or reduction of compensation or
benefits, except as may be imposed by express action of the board.

Chapter 3. Government of District

Article 1. Board of Directors

106020. The district shall be governed by an eight-member
board of directors which shall be composed of one representative
from each member jurisdiction which includes the Cities of
Carmel-by-the-Sea, Del Rey Oaks, Marina, Monterey, Pacific
Grove, Salinas, and Seaside and the County of Monterey. Each
member of the board of directors shall have one vote. Each member
jurisdiction shall appoint one regular member and one alternate
member to the board of directors and shall notify the district in
writing of its appointments or any change of representative. The
City of Gonzales shall appoint a person to serve as a nonvoting,
ex officio member. Each member shall serve solely at the pleasure
of the appointing member jurisdiction. Members shall be either
elected officials or officers or employees of the appointing member
jurisdiction.

106021. The board shall adopt bylaws for its proceedings
consistent with the laws of the state.

106022. The board shall do all of the following:
(a) Adopt an annual budget.
(b) Adopt an administrative code, by ordinance, which prescribes
the powers and duties of the district officers, the method of
appointment of the district employees, and methods, procedures,
and systems of operation and management of the district.
(c) Cause a postaudit of the financial transactions and records
of the district to be made at least annually by a certified public
accountant.
(d) Do any and all things necessary to carry out the purposes of
this part.
106023. The board of directors shall adopt priorities reflecting
the district’s goals.

Article 2. Meetings

106030. The board, at its first meeting, and thereafter annually
at the meeting designated by the board, shall elect a chairperson
who shall preside at all meetings, and a vice chairperson who shall
preside in the absence of the chairperson. In the event of his or her
absence or inability to act, the members present, by an order entered
in the minutes, shall select one of their members to act as
chairperson pro tempore, who, while so acting, shall have all the
authority of the chairperson.
106031. All meetings of the board shall be conducted pursuant
to Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 54950) of Part 1 of
Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code.
106032. A majority of the board of directors entitled to vote
constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business. All official
acts of the district require the affirmative vote of a majority of the
board of directors entitled to vote.
106033. The acts of the board shall be expressed by motion,
resolution, or ordinance.

Chapter 4. Powers and Functions of the District

Article 1. Personnel

106040. (a) The district may hire an independent staff of its
own or contract with any department or agency of the United States
or with any public agency to implement this part.
(b) The district may contract with private entities in conformance
with applicable procurement procedures for the procurement of
engineering, project management, and contract management services.

106041. The board of directors shall fix the compensation of the district’s officers and employees.

Article 2. Annual Budget

106045. (a) Notice of the time and place of a public hearing on the adoption of the annual budget shall be published pursuant to Section 6061 of the Government Code not less than 15 days prior to the day of the hearing.

(b) The proposed annual budget shall be available for public inspection at least 15 days prior to the hearing.

Article 3. Corporate Powers

106050. The district may sue and be sued, except as otherwise provided by law, in all actions and proceedings, in all courts and tribunals of competent jurisdiction.

106051. All claims for money or damages against the district are governed by Division 3.6 (commencing with Section 810) of Title 1 of the Government Code, except as provided therein, or by other statutes or regulations expressly applicable thereto.

Article 4. Contracts

106055. The district may make contracts and enter into stipulations of any nature whatsoever, either in connection with eminent domain proceedings or otherwise, including, but not limited to, contracts and stipulations to indemnify and hold harmless, to employ labor, and to perform all acts necessary and convenient for the full exercise of the powers granted in this part.

106056. The district may contract with any department or agency of the United States, with any public agency, including, but not limited to, the Department of Transportation, any county, city, or district, or with any person or a private entity upon the terms and conditions that the district finds in its best interest for the procurement of engineering, project management, and contract management services.
The district shall adopt procurement procedures that comply with Federal Transit Administration Circular 4220.1D, as amended, relative to third-party contracting.

Article 5. Financing

106060. (a) The district has no authority to impose property, sales, general, or special taxes, but may, with the concurrence of a majority of the member jurisdictions represented on the board of directors, cause to be submitted to voters of the district a ballot measure for the imposition of those taxes.

(b) If approved as required by law, the district may impose and administer fees and other funding sources secured for transportation system operation, maintenance, and improvement.

(c) The board of directors may set fares for public transit service by resolution or minute order.

106061. As an alternative procedure for the raising of funds, the district may issue bonds, payable from revenues of any facility or enterprise to be acquired or constructed by the district, in the manner provided by the Revenue Bond Law of 1941 (Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 54300) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code), all of the provisions of which are applicable to the district.

106062. The district is a local agency within the meaning of the Revenue Bond Law of 1941 (Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 54300) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code). The term “enterprise” as used in the Revenue Bond Law of 1941 shall, for all purposes of this part, include transit facilities and any and all parts thereof and all additions, extensions, and improvements thereto and all other facilities authorized to be acquired, constructed, or completed by a district or, in the alternative, may issue revenue bonds under the Revenue Bond Law of 1941, for the acquisition, construction, and completion of any one of those facilities. Nothing in this article shall prevent the district from availing itself of, or making use of, any procedure provided in this part for the issuance of bonds of any type or character for any of the facilities or works authorized under this part, and all proceedings may be carried on simultaneously or in the alternative, as the directors may determine.
106063. The district may advocate and act on behalf of all
district member jurisdictions with their concurrence to further
Monterey County transportation system interests, funding, projects,
and priorities.
106064. The district may promulgate a plan for funding
transportation projects within its jurisdiction.
SEC. 2. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to
Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because
the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school
district are the result of a program for which legislative authority
was requested by that local agency or school district, within the
meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code and Section
6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.
March 30, 2009

Hon. Anna M. Caballero
Assemblymember, 28th District
California Legislature
Post Office Box 942849
Sacramento, CA 94249-0028

RE: ASSEMBLY BILL 644 RE MONTEREY-SALINAS TRANSIT DISTRICT ACT

Dear Assemblymember Caballero:

The cities of Gonzales, Greenfield, King City and Soledad, are in favor of the passing of Assembly Bill 644 regarding the Monterey-Salinas Transit District Act with the following amendments.

The cities of Gonzales, Greenfield, King City and Soledad would like to be represented as equal voting members and request that the bill be amended for that purpose. All other jurisdictions in the proposed district are represented by a voting member under the proposed bill, and we believe that it is equitable that each of our cities receives a voting member in return for the funds we are contributing.

In addition, we would also like to see two amendments made to Article 5 of the proposed legislation. The first is to amend section 106060. (a) regarding the voting requirement by changing it from a simple “majority” to a “four fifths (4/5) majority” of the voting members, in order to submit a ballot measure to voters for the imposition of taxes. The second is to amend section 106060. (c) regarding the setting of fares by changing it from a “resolution or minute order” to a “resolution following a noticed public hearing.”

With the changes made by these amendments, the needs of Line 23 could continue to be addressed to our satisfaction. Should you have any questions, comments or concerns regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me directly at (831) 223-5014.

Thank you for your help and assistance; we look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

City of Soledad

Adela P. Gonzalez, City Manager
City of Gonzales

Rene L. Mendez, City Manager

City of Greenfield

Roger L. Wong, City Manager

City of King City

Michael Powers, City Manager

cc: City Council of the City of Soledad
   Gary Gerbrandt
   Carl G. Sedoryk
To: Board of Directors

From: Michael Gallant, Planning Manager

Subject: Update on current and future military bus services

RECOMMENDATION:

Receive report on Navy Postgraduate School bus service (Lines 12 and 14) and future commuter bus service for the Presidio of Monterey-DLI.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Your Board approves the addition of any new MST bus routes.

DISCUSSION:

The Navy Postgraduate School (NPS) contacted MST last summer 2008 to talk about finding a solution to their parking problems at NPS during weekdays. A shuttle bus (Line 12) was conceived and developed to operate as a limited-stop bus route between the La Mesa military housing community and NPS during weekday commute hours. After learning that a substantial number of NPS students reside in the Ord Military Community (OMC), a route extension was developed to Fitch Park as well as to a newly established Park & Ride location at The Dunes Shopping Center in Marina. In order to capture even more ridership, a morning trip was added to the DOD/POM Annex on Gigling Road with a return afternoon trip to the Monterey Transit Plaza. The two trips that serve the DOD/POM Annex operate as an express. The Line 12 began its first day of operation on September 2, 2008.

To limit confusion (because of the circuitous and meandering nature of the route which included a few short-turns and express trips) it was recommended by NPS staff and students to separate the bus route into two different line numbers. Today, Line 12 operates between The Dunes Shopping Center/Fitch Park/DOD-POM Annex and NPS (also included is a new bus stop location at Broadway and Noche Buena Avenue to accommodate those students who reside in the Seaside area). A new midday trip was added later to accommodate those students who have half-day class schedules. The La
Mesa segment of the original route has been renamed Line 14. Both routes continue to offer limited trips to the Monterey Transit Plaza.

Lines 12 and 14 continue to enjoy increasing ridership each month as more students learn of the convenience of riding the bus to class instead of driving their car to NPS and then having to search for a parking space. On average, there are between 75-100 military students who ride these two bus routes on most weekdays (there was one day in February 2009 in which 144 boardings were reported, the highest number to date). There are a handful of DOD civilians who are now taking advantage of the Line 12 express trips to and from the DOD/POM Annex. Because of the recently added stop location in Seaside, there has also been an increase in ridership from the general public.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Ridership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September 2008</td>
<td>845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2008</td>
<td>1258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2008</td>
<td>911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2008</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2009</td>
<td>1336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2009</td>
<td>1597</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lines 12 and 14 are fully funded by the Navy Postgraduate School through a special federal transportation benefit program (Transportation Incentive Program – TIP) set up by the Department of Transportation.

In December 2008, the Army and Navy had set up a meeting with MST to try to identify possible solutions to the current (and future) parking issues at the Presidio of Monterey-DLI. The Presidio of Monterey will be experiencing a substantial reduction in the number of available parking spaces in late October 2009 because of several construction projects. More parking spaces at the Presidio of Monterey are scheduled to be removed in 2010 to accommodate other construction projects. The new parking situation will have a direct impact on everyone who commutes to and from the Presidio of Monterey each weekday. The Presidio of Monterey Garrison Commander, Colonel Darcy Brewer, has directed his staff to seek a solution to this urgent problem. After observing the success of the new partnership between the Navy and MST, the Army would like to piggyback off of that success by creating a similar partnership with MST.

The Army has requested that MST provide several direct express bus routes from numerous communities around the Monterey Peninsula and the Salinas area to the Presidio of Monterey (this new service will be entirely funded by the same federal transportation benefit program as already in place with the Navy Postgraduate School). The premium bus service will operate during weekday commute hours. There is discussion of some routes operating during midday and late evening to accommodate shift workers. As of current, some of the new express routes have been developed however; the exact hours of operation and frequency of service for each route are still in
discussion. The span and frequency of service will be dependent upon the number of participants who enroll into the federal transportation benefit program (unlike the Navy, this program is being extended to all qualified military and DOD civilian employees who live anywhere other than on the Presidio of Monterey installation – the Army anticipates that the total number of participants to enroll in the program will be substantial). After the extensive marketing campaign at the Presidio of Monterey is completed and all applications are received by April 27, 2009, MST will then learn the results of total enrolled. Subsequently, all Presidio of Monterey express routes and timetables should be completed by the end of April 2009 or earlier.

New information from the Army is being received almost on a daily basis. There may be more information to report that is not yet known as of this writing. On April 9, 2009, Carl Sedoryk is scheduled to meet with Colonel Brewer at DLI Headquarters on the Presidio of Monterey. Any new information learned from that meeting will be revealed at the MST Board of Directors Meeting on April 13, 2009.
To: Board of Directors  
From: C. Sedoryk, General Manager/CEO  
Subject: Monthly Report

Attached are the most recent monthly statistics and the reports from the Administration and Operations/Maintenance Departments.

Attachment #1 – Fixed Route Bus – Monthly Boardings  
Attachment #2 – Fixed Route Bus – Comparative Statistics  
Attachment #3 – MST RIDES Monthly Boardings  
Attachment #4 – MST RIDES Comparative Statistics  
Attachment #5 – Operations Department Report February 2009  
Attachment #6 – Facilities & Maintenance Department Report February 2009  
Attachment #7 – Administration Department Report February 2009

PREPARED BY: Carl G. Sedoryk
FIXED ROUTE BUS OPERATIONS:

System Wide Service: (Fixed Route & DART Services)

Preliminary boarding statistics indicate that ridership decreased by 16.26% in February 2009, (282,949), as compared to February 2008, (337,900). With the economic downturn and the unemployment rate in Monterey County reaching 16%, these factors may have contributed to less ridership on our core services including Lines 9, 10, 41, 42 and 20. Increased ridership continues to occur on Lines 1, 2, 16, 24, and 55. Fiscal year-to-date, system wide boardings have decreased by 0.50% as compared to the same period last year.

Productivity decreased from 19.6 passengers per hour (February 2008), to 16.48 PPH in February of this year.

Seasonal Service:

Throughout February the new MST Trolley Service in Salinas serving Hartnell College and downtown Salinas was in service weekdays from 1:00 AM – 3:00 PM. Preliminary boarding statistics for this service are unavailable at this time.

Supplemental / Special Services:

None to report

System Wide Statistics:

- Ridership: 282,949
- Vehicle Revenue Hours: 17,164
- Vehicle Revenue Miles: 272,467
- System Productivity: 16.48 Passengers Per Vehicle Revenue Hour
- Scheduled One-Way Trips: 24,588

On-Time Compliance: Of 87,068 total time-point crossings sampled for the month of February, the TransitMaster™ system recorded 11,487 delayed arrivals to MST’s published time-points system-wide. This denotes that 86.81% of all scheduled arrivals at published time-points were on time. (See MST Fixed-Route Bus ~ On Time Compliance Chart FY 2009.)

Service arriving later than 5 minutes beyond the published schedule are considered late. The on-time compliance chart (attached) reflects system wide “on-time performance” as a percentage to the total number of reported time-point crossings.
Trips With 10 or More Standees: There were 69 reported trips with 10 or more standees for the month of February. (See Operations Summary report for further information)

Cancelled Trips: There were a total of ten (10) cancelled trips for the month of February for both directly operated and contracted services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>MST</th>
<th>MV Transportation</th>
<th>% Of All Missed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accident</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver / Passenger Illness</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object thrown @ coach</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Error</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Documented Occurrences: MST Coach Operators are required to complete an occurrence report for any unusual incident that occurs during their work day. The information provided within these reports is used to identify trends, which often drive changes in policy or standard operating procedures. The following is a comparative summary of reported incidents for the month(s) of February 2008 and 2009:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occurrence Type</th>
<th>February-08</th>
<th>February-09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accident: MST Involved</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Emergency</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object Hits @ Coach</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Conflict</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Fall</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Injury</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near Miss</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreported Damage</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Occurrences</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTRACTED SERVICES:

MST RIDES ADA / ST Paratransit Program:

- Preliminary boarding statistics for the MST RIDES program reflect that for the month of February there were 8,827 passenger boardings. This represents a 21.57% increase in passenger trips from February of 2008. Fiscal year-to-date passenger boardings for this service have increased by 45.07% over the same period last year.

- For the month of February, 86.42% of all scheduled trips for the MST RIDES Program arrived on time, decreasing from 88.29% in February of 2008. (See MST RIDES ~ On Time Compliance Chart FY 2009.)

- Productivity for February of this year was at 1.9 passengers per hour, which represents no change as compared to February of 2008.
For the month of February, 68 applications were reviewed, resulting in 64 approvals and 4 denials. Of the approvals, 34 were new clients, and 30 were recertifications.

- 6 clients were deactivated in February.
- There are 3,373 total active clients as of March 31, 2009.

**OTHER:**

02/14/09: Coach 921 was involved in a preventable collision (Coach hit fixed object in the MV yard) – Minor damage and no injuries were reported.

**COMMUNICATIONS CENTER:**

In February, the Communications Center summoned public safety agencies on nine (9) separate occasions to MST’s transit vehicles and facilities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Type</th>
<th>Incident Type</th>
<th>Number Of Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police</td>
<td>Passenger Incident</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>Medical Emergency</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Robert Weber

**ATTACHMENTS:**

MST RIDES ~~ On Time Compliance FY 2009.
## MST FIXED ROUTE

### ON-TIME COMPLIANCE FY 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>FY08 ON-TIME PERFORMANCE</th>
<th>FY09 ON-TIME TIME POINT COUNT</th>
<th>FY09 DELAYED ARRIVALS 5+ MINUTES</th>
<th>FY09 ON-TIME PERFORMANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>82.66%</td>
<td>105,748</td>
<td>16,401</td>
<td>84.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>81.15%</td>
<td>105,498</td>
<td>19,827</td>
<td>81.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>81.75%</td>
<td>93,173</td>
<td>17,314</td>
<td>81.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>84.26%</td>
<td>100,339</td>
<td>20,475</td>
<td>79.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>84.29%</td>
<td>89,667</td>
<td>14,917</td>
<td>83.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>85.61%</td>
<td>94,541</td>
<td>13,006</td>
<td>86.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>89.11%</td>
<td>94,414</td>
<td>11,799</td>
<td>87.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td><strong>86.44%</strong></td>
<td><strong>87,068</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,487</strong></td>
<td><strong>86.81%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>86.64%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>86.05%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>84.69%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>85.37%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>N/A</strong></td>
<td><strong>770,448</strong></td>
<td><strong>125,226</strong></td>
<td><strong>N/A</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>84.41%</strong></td>
<td><strong>96,306</strong></td>
<td><strong>83.83%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### On-Time Compliance FY 2009

- **Goal**: 87%
## MST RIDES
### ON TIME COMPLIANCE FY - 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>FY08 ON-TIME PERFORMANCE</th>
<th>FY09 ON-TIME PERFORMANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>88.09%</td>
<td>82.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>86.21%</td>
<td>82.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>88.13%</td>
<td>82.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>88.81%</td>
<td>85.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>88.19%</td>
<td>89.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>88.18%</td>
<td>86.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>91.05%</td>
<td>87.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feb</strong></td>
<td><strong>88.29%</strong></td>
<td><strong>86.42%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>86.91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>88.57%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>83.46%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>83.37%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>88.38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>85.28%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Chart

- **Goal:** 90%
- **On-Time Compliance:** FY08 and FY09
- **Months:** Jul, Aug, Sept, Oct, Nov, Dec, Jan, Feb, March, April, May, June
- **Performance:** 0% to 100%
- **Legend:**
  - FY08
  - FY09

---
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Operations Summary Report

Fixed Route and DART Service

July 2008 – February 2009
Fixed Route & DART Monthly Operations Summary Report
February 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Delivered</th>
<th>Service Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ridership</td>
<td>282,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passengers / Vehicle Revenue Hour</td>
<td>16.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-way Trips Scheduled</td>
<td>24,588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancelled Trips</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-time Time Points</td>
<td>75,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Time Points</td>
<td>11,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent On-time Boardings</td>
<td>86.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overcrowded Trips</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Systemwide Service:**
Total monthly ridership decreased 16.3% in February 2009 (282,949 boardings) when compared to February 2008 (337,900 boardings), with scheduled revenue hours essentially unchanged. The net effect was a decrease in productivity (measured in passenger boardings per vehicle revenue hour) from 19.65 passengers per hour last February to 16.48 passengers per hour in February 2009.

Most routes showed ridership losses, ranging from 1.7% on line 4-Carmel to 65.6% on line 21-Salinas. Ridership on Salinas routes decreased across the board, ranging from a 21.4% drop on line 43-Memorial Hospital to a 35.4% drop on line 48-Airport Business Center. A few routes had significant ridership gains, including line 2-Pacific Grove (17.1% increase), line 5-Carmel (27.5% increase), line 55-San Jose Express (22.3% increase) and line 56-Monterey/Memorial Hospital (127.4% increase).

**Seasonal Service:**
None operated.

**Supplemental Service:**
None operated.

**On Time Passenger Boardings**

No supplemental service was operated this month.
Fixed Route & DART Monthly Operations Summary Report
February 2009

Overcrowded Trip Reports by Line - FY09 YTD

- Line 9 - 64 trips (9%)
- Line 10 - 155 trips (22%)
- Line 11 - 99 trips (14%)
- Line 20 - 200 trips (29%)
- Line 23 - 33 trips (5%)
- Line 41 - 80 trips (11%)
- Line 42 - 37 trips (5%)
- Other Lines - 37 trips (5%)

Cancelled Trips by Month - FY09 YTD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Number of Trips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cancelled Trips by Reason - FY09 YTD

- Mechanical Failure - 26 trips (37%)
- Passenger Boarding - 8 trips (11%)
- Other - 5 trips (7%)
- Non-MST Accident - 1 trip (1%)
- Traffic - 11 trips (16%)
- Employee Error - 6 trips (8%)
- MST Accident - 14 trips (20%)
Schedule Adherence by Line - February 2009
Percent On-time Timepoints

87% adherence standard

* No adherence data available for line 12-Monterey via NPS due to routing changes
February 2009

Systemwide Ridership: 282,949
Systemwide Revenue Hours: 17164:26
Systemwide Revenue Miles: 272,467.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Routes</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Ridership</th>
<th>VRHrs</th>
<th>VRMi</th>
<th>Pax/Hr</th>
<th>% Riders</th>
<th>% Hrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-Monterey-Pacific Grove</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,473</td>
<td>668:18</td>
<td>6,701.5</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-Fremont-Hilby</td>
<td></td>
<td>21,233</td>
<td>880:45</td>
<td>8,884.4</td>
<td>24.11</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Fremont-Ord Grove</td>
<td></td>
<td>29,914</td>
<td>975:52</td>
<td>10,532.5</td>
<td>30.65</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-East Alisal - Northridge</td>
<td></td>
<td>33,487</td>
<td>1499:57</td>
<td>16,534.4</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42-East Alisal - Westridge</td>
<td></td>
<td>21,278</td>
<td>1205:55</td>
<td>13,358.3</td>
<td>17.64</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>114,385</td>
<td>5230:47</td>
<td>56,011.1</td>
<td>21.87</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Routes</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Ridership</th>
<th>VRHrs</th>
<th>VRMi</th>
<th>Pax/Hr</th>
<th>% Riders</th>
<th>% Hrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-Monterey-Pacific Grove</td>
<td></td>
<td>17,179</td>
<td>756:23</td>
<td>10,663.7</td>
<td>22.71</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Peninsula DART</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,349</td>
<td>735:03</td>
<td>9,038.0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Carmel-Carmel Rancho</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,968</td>
<td>354:51</td>
<td>3,616.6</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Monterey-Carmel</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,125</td>
<td>328:08</td>
<td>3,989.9</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Edgewater - Ryan Ranch</td>
<td></td>
<td>289</td>
<td>47:30</td>
<td>1,001.3</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Monterey-Carmel</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,051</td>
<td>144:43</td>
<td>1,692.9</td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MST OnCall</td>
<td></td>
<td>857</td>
<td>359:00</td>
<td>3,958.0</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-Edgewater-Carmel</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,618</td>
<td>557:43</td>
<td>8,448.5</td>
<td>20.83</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14-Dunes via NPS</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,591</td>
<td>267:54</td>
<td>2,813.9</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-Monterey-Marina</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,720</td>
<td>862:50</td>
<td>15,546.1</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43-Memorial Hospital</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,328</td>
<td>281:41</td>
<td>3,393.6</td>
<td>29.57</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44-Northridge</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,587</td>
<td>316:21</td>
<td>3,746.1</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-East Market-Creekbridge</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,718</td>
<td>371:44</td>
<td>5,533.1</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-Natividad</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,641</td>
<td>164:34</td>
<td>1,718.7</td>
<td>22.12</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-East Salinas - Airport Business Center</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,079</td>
<td>217:52</td>
<td>4,157.2</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49-Northridge</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,648</td>
<td>396:20</td>
<td>2,789.0</td>
<td>16.77</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>83,748</td>
<td>6162:37</td>
<td>82,106.6</td>
<td>13.59</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional Routes</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Ridership</th>
<th>VRHrs</th>
<th>VRMi</th>
<th>Pax/Hr</th>
<th>% Riders</th>
<th>% Hrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-Monterey-Salinas</td>
<td></td>
<td>40,668</td>
<td>1633:36</td>
<td>32,495.9</td>
<td>24.89</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-Monterey-Salinas</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,371</td>
<td>106:05</td>
<td>1,993.1</td>
<td>12.92</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-Salinas-King City</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,216</td>
<td>797:35</td>
<td>24,605.8</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Carmel Valley-Grapevine Express</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,384</td>
<td>665:52</td>
<td>13,645.9</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-Watsonville-Marina</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,363</td>
<td>265:41</td>
<td>7,155.4</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-Watsonville-Salinas</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,084</td>
<td>660:04</td>
<td>18,487.2</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-Watsonville-Salinas</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,492</td>
<td>866:00</td>
<td>14,858.0</td>
<td>15.58</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-Monterey-San Jose Express</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,305</td>
<td>430:52</td>
<td>13,482.0</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-Monterey-Memorial Hospital</td>
<td></td>
<td>489</td>
<td>155:35</td>
<td>5,343.3</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>83,372</td>
<td>5581:20</td>
<td>132,066.6</td>
<td>14.94</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasonal / Supplemental Service</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Ridership</th>
<th>VRHrs</th>
<th>VRMi</th>
<th>Pax/Hr</th>
<th>% Riders</th>
<th>% Hrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22-Big Sur</td>
<td></td>
<td>126</td>
<td>51:00</td>
<td>1,314.0</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MST Trolley Salinas</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,318</td>
<td>138:42</td>
<td>969.0</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,444</td>
<td>189:42</td>
<td>2,283.0</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Date: February 28, 2009

To: C. Sedoryk, General Manager/CEO

From: Lyn Owens, Director Human Resources & Risk Management; Hunter Harvath, Assistant General Manager Finance & Administration; Mark Eccles, Director Information Technology

Subject: Administration Department Monthly Report February 2009

The following significant events occurred in Administration work groups for the month of February 2009:

Human Resources

A total employment level for February 2009 is summarized as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positions</th>
<th>Budget FY09</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coach Operators / Trainees</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/O on Long Term Leave *</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coach Operators Limited Duty</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations Staff</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance &amp; Facilities</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration (Interns 2 PT)</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>225.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>227.50</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**February Worker’s Compensation Costs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indemnity (paid to employees)</td>
<td>$15,075.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal (includes bill review &amp; Utiliz. Review)</td>
<td>$5,645.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical (included Medical Case Mgmt)</td>
<td>$21,949.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPA Administration Fee</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Insurance</td>
<td>$4,412.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses November 2008</strong></td>
<td><strong>$51,083.35</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reserves</strong></td>
<td>$1,571,159.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Ending Open Claims</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Ending Closed Claims</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Attendees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Communication</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Harrasment</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug &amp; Alcohol</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security ID Badge Training</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Backsafe Injury Prevention Program 13
In Service Training ER Procedures 1
New Hire Harrasment Policy Training 1

Risk Management Update

February 2009  February 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Preventable</th>
<th>Preventable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle hits bus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus hits object</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accident Statistics

Liability Claims Paid/Recovered – Property and Personal Injury

A total of $1,500.00 in claims were paid. No claims were recovered for this reporting period.

Customer Services Update

Customer Service received 28 customer comments during the month as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Report Type</th>
<th>Feb  '09</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Feb  '08</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Compliment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Compliment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.57%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall service reports in February '09 (28) again showed a decrease from the previous February (44) and a decrease from January '09 (32). The decrease was most likely due to not having a service change in January '09 compared to the January 26, 2008 PASS service change. “Improper Employee Conduct” reports (2) showed a drastic decrease from January '09 (12) and from February '08 (6) and showed the lowest percentage (7.14%) of overall reports since June '07 (4.29%). This shows a substantial decrease compared to the monthly average of "Improper Employee Conduct" reports in 2008 (17.63%).

“Passed By” reports (9) represented 32.14% of overall service reports in February '09. This shows a surprising increase compared to the monthly average of “Passed By" reports in 2008 (9.67%). A review of these reports showed a variety of complaints involving passengers being passed by.

Marketing and Sales Update

MST sponsored the 2-1-1 United Way Campaign by donating bus advertising space at a monthly cost of $1,925. Press releases sent include: “MST Ridership Increases Despite Lower Gas Prices” (2/9/09); “MST Bus Service on Presidents Day” (2/12/09)

Published news stories include: “Oldtown Trolleys: Fast Fun & Free!” (Salinas Valley Chamber of Commerce Business Journal, January-February 2009); “MST says riders increase” (Contra Costa Times & Monterey County Herald, 2/9/09); “’08 banner year for council” (Monterey County Herald, 2/10/09); “211: New phone service connects community” (Monterey County Herald, 2/11/09); “Update: More than a dozen hurt in MST bus crash; traffic still backed up” (The Californian, 2/12/09); “Collision took place
near Moss Landing” (KSBW.com, 2/12/09); “Six-car pileup in Moss Landing reported (Monterey County Herald, 2/12/09); “MST bus, SUV crash near Moss Landing” (Monterey County Herald, 2/12/09); “SUV rear ends bus” (Monterey County Herald, 2/13/09); “Moss Landing bus crash injures at least 12” (The Californian, 2/13/09); “MST ridership increases despite lower gas prices” (Monterey County Business Council Friday Facts, 2/13/09); “Budget adoption could end public transport funding. Fare increases, service cuts likely” (Register Pajaronian, 2/14/09); “Toddler also hurt in SUV, bus crash” (The Californian, 2/14/09); “Holiday schedules for Presidents Day” (Monterey County Herald, 2/15/09); “Welcome Wagon” (Monterey County Weekly, 2/12/09); “Street Talk” (Monterey County Weekly, 2/19/09); “Transit board announces awards” (The Californian, 2/25/09); “Sour Note” (Monterey County Weekly, 2/26/09);

Marketing activities: Sat on AMBAG’s Joint Marketing Committee steering the campaign for Clean Air Month in May ’09; coordinated joint marketing messaging with 211; mailed out 2008 community stakeholder surveys; managed vendor and group discount programs; ordered MST promotional products.

Planning Update

During February, staff continued with planning efforts for new transit services to the Presidio/Defense Languages Institute as well as for MST’s new Frank J. Lichtanski Monterey Bay Operations and Maintenance Center. Staff also worked on programming and documentation for the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (federal stimulus) funds that will be flowing to MST. Staff made a presentation at CSUMB about MST’s Mustard Seed bio-fuel pilot project. Staff met with representatives of Salinas Valley Memorial Hospital to discuss MST’s Line 56 commute hour service and with consultants working on the redevelopment of downtown Salinas. Staff participated in the kickoff ceremony of the United Way’s 2-1-1 program. Staff worked with representatives of a local bank to explore the possibility of selling MST passes by tellers in its branches. Preliminary work was begun on the Marina Area Service Study with project consultants Moore & Associates. Staff attended a workshop on SB375 (climate change/greenhouse gas legislation) as well as regular meetings of TAMC, FORA, Monterey County Hospitality Association, and the Monterey County Business Council.

Information Technology Update

During February, staff continued working on the Continental Transitmaster system configuration. Staff continued to configure software and hardware for the Assetworks Maintenance system. Staff continued to configure and monitor the FAMIS Payroll system. Staff continued to configure data for the ongoing implementation of the GIRO DDAM Timekeeping system. Staff updated software components of MST workstations. Staff continued developing functionality of the Payroll and Customer Service databases. Staff kept the MST web page updated and made the appropriate changes as required. Staff continued to support MST staff as needed, proactively ensuring MST staff were supported fully with their IT needs.
**FEDERAL STIMULUS PROJECTS**

**IN MONTEREY COUNTY**

The Transportation Agency recommended that the SR 1/Salinas Road Interchange and the US 101/San Juan Road Interchange be the Agency’s highest priorities for programming the remaining American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds available to Transportation Agency for Monterey County.

Monterey County’s share of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Funds will be between $19 and $20.5 million. At its February 25th meeting, the Transportation Agency for Monterey County Board of Directors approved a list of local projects totaling $8.3 million to be implemented with federal economic stimulus funds. The final amount of funding and how the remaining funds can be used depends on whether special state legislation, SB 165, is signed into law.

The State Route 1/Salinas Road Interchange project, ready for construction was delayed, due to the state budget crisis and the state’s inability to sell bonds, the California Transportation Commission stopped allocating funds to projects in December. Using approximately $10 million of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds on Salinas Road would get the project constructed and avoid any cost increases associated with delaying construction. This option would also require that Caltrans commit $18 million of their share of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds to the project. This would also leave the state bond funds programmed to this project available to another eligible project(s) in the County.

The Highway 101/San Juan Road Interchange projects needs $28.325 million of non-state funds as a match for Trade Corridors Improvement bond funds allocated to the project. American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds can be used to purchase right-of-way for this project, which will occur in 2010. Staff is investigating possible programming options that would allow the stimulus funds to meet the non-state funding match requirements for this project.
The Transportation Agency provided input on a draft set of agency goals and objectives for the coming year. These goals will be used to guide the preparation of future work programs and budgets to assure that resources are targeted to the goals set by the Board members.

The goals and objectives focus on:
- “Aggressively pursuing” all available funding – particularly federal stimulus funds
- Focusing on delivering high-priority regional projects throughout the county (including road, bus, rail and bicycle projects),
- Pursuing business-friendly policies and projects, and
- Supporting the engagement of the Board and staff in these activities.

Also discussed were the importance of low cost, high value projects; improving coordination among agencies within the county and throughout the region to increase our resources; emphasizing alternatives to driving and geographic equity; and, assisting member agencies with timely project delivery.

**TRANSPORTATION AGENCY**
**2008 ANNUAL REPORT**

The Transportation Agency 2008 Annual Report will be mailed to residents and businesses throughout the County during the month of April. It will address recent accomplishments and will focus on informing residents that the Transportation Agency is still moving forward after the defeat of Measure Z. The Agency will continue to do an effective job with the resources that will continue to be available. Funded projects in the pipeline will be ushered to completion. It will also stress to residents the continued needs in the county and the challenges in funding transportation projects. There are a number of projects that will be greatly delayed and some that will not be constructed.

**NEW ON-CALL CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT CONSULTANT LIST**

As a service to its member agencies to help reduce administrative costs, the Transportation Agency approved a list of on-call consultants for construction management services:
- URS Corporation
- Harris & Associates
- MNS Engineers
- Mark Thomas & Company
The consultant list is available to the Transportation Agency and member agencies. The requests for the consultants will be rotated through the selected list to ensure that each firm has an opportunity to perform work. When the member agency has tasks for the consultants to complete, they will contact the Transportation Agency to find out who is next on the list.

Firms were selected based on organization, staffing, related experience, management approach, knowledge of federal and state procedures, reputation and local knowledge. Special attention was given to the proposed project managers and their related experiences along with the qualifications of the firms selected for sub-consultants. The list can be used on federally-funded (as well as non-federal) projects and is good for two years.
TO: Carl Sedoryk  
FROM: Thomas P. Walters  

The following report summarizes recent actions taken on behalf of Monterey-Salinas Transit:  

- Provided requested updates on MST’s economic stimulus funding to Congressional staff.  
- Contacted House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee staff regarding SAFETEA-LU reauthorization process and MST’s support for APTA bus program recommendations, and continuation of Small Transit Intensive Cities program the Small Start/Very Small Start Program.  
- Briefed Congressional staff on MST capital projects and request for funding support in the FY 2010 appropriations process.  
- Planned advocacy meetings for MST staff and Board Members during APTA Washington, D.C., Conference.  
- Attended meetings with committees, agencies and Congressional delegation to assist MST staff and Board Members advocacy of 2009 Federal Agenda.  
- Advised on lobbying strategies and 2009 Federal agenda and priorities.  
- Provided drafting assistance for appropriations requests.  
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DATE: April 1, 2009

TO: Carl Sedoryk, General Manager/CEO
    Monterey-Salinas Transit

FROM: John E. Arriaga, President

SUBJ: March 2009 Activity Report

Week of March 2, 2009

- Monitored/reviewed new bills; developed MST’s bill track
- Monitored gubernatorial appointments
- Attended City/County Caucus meetings to monitor progress of legislation for state distribution of federal stimulus money for transportation projects (no new developments this week)
- Attended Assembly Transportation Committee organizational hearing
- Provided MST with JEA & Associates Capitol Weekly Report on key events and activities in Sacramento/the Capitol

Week of March 9, 2009

- Discussed legislative strategy with Carl Sedoryk for support of MST-sponsored AB 644 (Caballero)
- Monitored/reviewed new bills; developed MST bill track
- Monitored gubernatorial appointments
- Attended City/County Caucus meetings to monitor progress of legislation for state distribution of federal stimulus money for transportation projects (League of CA Cities and CA State Association of Counties negotiating for 40% minimum for regional distributions)
- Attended Assembly Transportation Committee hearing re: Departmental Information for CHP, CTC, CA High-Speed Rail Authority, DMV, Caltrans and Air Resources Board
- Attended Assembly Budget Committee hearing re: Legislative Analyst’s report on federal stimulus dollars and their impacts to state budget
- Attended Senate Transportation Committee hearing re: overview of federal stimulus dollars to state by Legislative Analyst’s Office
- Provided MST with JEA & Associates Capitol Weekly Report on key events and activities in Sacramento/the Capitol

Week of March 16, 2009

- Monitored/reviewed MST bill track
- Monitored gubernatorial appointments
- Researched and responded to Hunter Harvath’s question re: Do the CEQA exemptions in the just-passed budget apply to Proposition 1B projects? Answer: No.
Attended City/County Caucus meetings to monitor progress of legislation for state distribution of federal stimulus money for transportation projects (League of CA Cities and CA State Association of Counties negotiating for 40% minimum for regional distributions)

Attended Senate Budget Sub 5 Committee information hearing on AB3X 20 (Bass) and formula for allocating federal stimulus transportation/infrastructure dollars to state

Attended Senate Transportation Committee hearing on High Speed Rail

Monitored Assembly Budget Sub 5 Committee on Transportation

Provided MST with JEA & Associates Capitol Weekly Report on key events and activities in Sacramento/the Capitol

Week of March 23, 2009

- Monitored/reviewed MST bill track
- Monitored gubernatorial appointments
- Prepared information packets on AB 644 (Caballero) for distribution to Transportation Committee members
- Scheduled and participated in meetings with legislative staff to discuss/lobby MST-sponsored AB 644 (Caballero)
- Provided requested background information and lobbied Republican consultant to Assembly Local Government Committee re: AB 644 to ask for support/consent
- Attended City/County Caucus meetings to monitor progress of legislation for state distribution of federal stimulus money for transportation projects (League of CA Cities and CA State Association of Counties negotiating for 40% minimum for regional distributions)
- Attended Senate Budget Sub 5 Committee information hearing on AB3X 20 (Bass) and formula for allocating federal stimulus transportation/infrastructure dollars to state
- Attended Senate Transportation Committee hearing on High Speed Rail
- Monitored Assembly Budget Sub 5 Committee on Transportation
- Monitored Assembly and Senate Floor votes on AB 20XXX (Bass), legislation to apportion California’s share of federal stimulus money to regional and local transportation entities
- Provided MST with JEA & Associates Capitol Weekly Report on key events and activities in Sacramento/the Capitol

Week of March 30-31, 2009

- Monitored/reviewed MST bill track
- Monitored gubernatorial appointments
- Attended Senate Transportation & Housing Committee hearing on SB 205 (Hancock)

Please feel free to contact me at (916) 669-1340 with any questions or concerns you may have regarding information contained in this report.
### Monterey Salinas Transit Board of Directors

**MEETING:** April 13, 2009  
**SUBJECT:** Receive Board Referrals Update  
**Agenda No.:** 10-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>BY</th>
<th>TO *</th>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>REPORT</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12/10/07</td>
<td>Downey</td>
<td>Harvath</td>
<td>Transit access to Presidio of Monterey</td>
<td>This item was discussed at the Board meeting on January 14, 2008. City of Monterey to schedule meeting with DLI. MST staff met with City of Monterey and DLI staff. MST staff met on June 12th and on July 8th with Bob Guidy from the Presidio. Mr. Guidy will forward MST staff times of day classes begin and end so schedules accessing Presidio can be developed. Transit access into and/or through the Presidio is still under discussion. Mike Gallant has had further discussions with the Internal Review/Compliance Officer in regards to implementing a number of shuttle/express trips from outside one of the Presidio gates sometime in mid-late 2009. Also discussed was identifying potential ways for DLI to fund this bus service (namely, the federal DOT transportation benefit program which completely funds the Line 12-NPS Express bus route for the Navy Postgraduate School).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This item will be reviewed by the Board on April 13, 2009

---
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